

MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? () Yes (x) No
Requestor's Name and Address HCA Spring Branch Medical Center 3701 Kirby Drive, Suite 1288 Houston, Texas 77098-3926	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-3438-01
	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO PO BOX 12029 AUSTIN TX 78711-2029 Box 54	Date of Injury:
	Employer's Name: International Holdings, Inc.
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 000055877

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	To			
11-14-02	11-17-02	Surgical Admission	\$24,147.97	\$1,106.59

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

"In closing, it is the position of HCA spring Branch Medical Center that all charges relating to the admission of Marti Stoker are due and payable as provided for under Texas Law".

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

"This dispute involves this carrier's payment for date of service 11-14-02 to 11-17-02 for which the requestor charged \$43,603.99 for one day inpatient stay for services that were NOT unusually extensive or costly and for a patient that was not even in ICU. This carrier reimbursed the requestor surgical per diem for a hospital stay that was NOT unusually costly (just unusually charges) and NOT unusually extensive. Peer review of this hospital stay did not reveal any unusually costly or extensive services.

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested additional reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by the provider, it does **not** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." Accordingly, the stop-loss method does not apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the per diem plus carve-out methodology described in the same rule.

The total length of stay for this admission was three (3) days (consisting of 3 days for surgical). Accordingly, the standard per diem amount due for this admission is equal to \$3,354.00 (3 times \$1,118.00) however, the requestor billed \$2,187.00. In addition, the hospital is entitled to additional reimbursement for (implantables/MRIs/CAT Scans/pharmaceuticals) as follows:

An invoice from DePuy in the amount of \$4,150.10 X 10% = \$4,565.11
An invoice from Lifelink in the amount of \$2,645.00 X 10% = \$2,909.50

The carrier has reimbursed the provider \$8,555.02

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to additional reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$1,106.59 (\$2,187.00 plus \$4,565.11 plus \$2,909.50 = \$9,661.61 minus carrier payment of \$8,555.02).

PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services, the Medical Review Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to reimbursement in the amount of \$1,106.59. The Division hereby **ORDERS** the insurance carrier to remit this amount plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days of receipt of this Order.

Ordered by:

Debra L. Hewitt

03-25-05

Authorized Signature

Typed Name

Date of Order

PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on _____. This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.

PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DELIVERY CERTIFICATION

I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision and Order in the Austin Representative's box.

Signature of Insurance Carrier: _____ Date: _____